



SPP *Southwest Power Pool*

***Aggregate Facility Study
SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-12
For Transmission Service
Requested by
Aggregate Transmission Customers***

SPP Engineering, SPP Tariff Studies

SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-12)

December 10, 2008 (Revised March 19, 2009)

Page 1 of 48

Table of Contents

- 1. Executive Summary 3
- 2. Introduction..... 5
 - A. Financial Analysis..... 7
 - B. Third Party Facilities..... 9
- 3. Study Methodology..... 10
 - A. Description..... 10
 - B. Model Development..... 11
 - C. Transmission Request Modeling..... 12
 - D. Transfer Analysis..... 13
 - E. Curtailment and Redispatch Evaluation..... 13
- 4. Study Results 15
 - A. Study Analysis Results 15
 - B. Study Definitions 18
- 5. Conclusion 18
- 6. Appendix A..... 20

1. Executive Summary

Pursuant to Attachment Z1 of the Southwest Power Pool Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), 1359 MW of long-term transmission service requests have been restudied in this Aggregate Facility Study (AFS). The first phase of the AFS consisted of a revision of the impact study to reflect the withdrawal of requests for which an Aggregate Facility Study Agreement was not executed. The principal objective of the AFS is to identify system problems and potential modifications necessary to facilitate these transfers while maintaining or improving system reliability as well as summarizing the operating limits and determination of the financial characteristics associated with facility upgrades. Facility upgrade costs are allocated on a prorated basis to all requests positively impacting any individual overloaded facility. Further, Attachment Z2 provides for facility upgrade cost recovery by stating that “Transmission Customers paying Directly Assigned Upgrade Costs for Service Upgrades or that are in excess of the Safe Harbor Cost Limit for Network Upgrades associated with new or changed Designated Resources and Project Sponsors paying Directly Assigned Upgrade Costs for Sponsored Upgrades shall receive revenue credits in accordance with Attachment Z2. Generation Interconnection Customers paying for Network Upgrades shall receive credits for new transmission service using the facility as specified in Attachment Z1.”

The total assigned facility upgrade Engineering and Construction (E &C) cost determined by the AFS is \$60 Million. Additionally \$145 Thousand of assigned E & C cost for 3rd party facility upgrades are assignable to the customer. The total upgrade levelized revenue requirement for all transmission requests is \$ 170 Million. This is based on full allocation of levelized revenue requirements for upgrades to customers without consideration of base plan funding. AFS data table 3 reflects the allocation of upgrade costs to each request without potential base plan funding based on either the requested reservation period or the deferred reservation period if

applicable. Total upgrade levelized revenue requirements for all transmission requests after consideration of potential base plan funding is \$58 Million.

Third-party facilities must be upgraded when it is determined they are constrained in order to accommodate the requested Transmission Service. These include both first-tier neighboring facilities outside SPP and Transmission Owner facilities within SPP that are not under the SPP OATT. In this AFS, third-party facilities were identified. Total engineering and construction cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are listed in Table 5.

The Transmission Provider tendered a Letter of Intent on December 10th, 2008. This will open a 15-day window for Customer response. To remain in the Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), the Transmission Provider must receive from the Transmission Customer (Customer) by December 25th, 2008, an executed Letter of Intent. The Letter of Intent will list options the Customer must choose to clarify their commitment to remain in the ATSS. The only action required on OASIS is to WITHDRAW the request or leave the request in STUDY mode.

At the conclusion of the ATSS, Service Agreements for each request for service will be tendered identifying the terms and conditions of the confirmed service.

If customers withdraw from the ATSS after posting of this AFS, the AFS will be re-performed to determine final cost allocation and Available Transmission Capability (ATC) in consideration of the remaining ATSS participants. All allocated revenue requirements for facility upgrades are assigned to the customer in the AFS data tables. Potential base plan funding allowable is contingent upon validation of designated resources meeting Attachment J, Section III B criteria.

2. Introduction

On January 21, 2005, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission accepted Southwest Power Pool's proposed aggregate transmission study procedures in Docket ER05-109 to become effective February 1, 2005. In compliance with this Order, the first open season of 2007 commenced on October 1, 2006. All requests for long-term transmission service received prior to February 1, 2007 with a signed study agreement were then included in this first Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS) of 2007.

Approximately 1359 MW of long-term transmission service has been restudied in this Aggregate Facility Study (AFS) with over \$60 Million in transmission upgrades being proposed. The results of the AFS are detailed in Tables 1 through 7. A highly tangible benefit of studying transmission requests aggregately under the SPP OATT Attachment Z1 is the sharing of costs among customers using the same facility. The detailed results show individual upgrade costs by study as well as potential base plan allowances as determined by Attachments J and Z1. The following URL can be used to access the SPP OATT:

http://www.spp.org/Publications/SPP_Tariff.pdf. In order to understand the extent to which base plan upgrades may be applied to both point-to-point and network transmission services, it is necessary to highlight the definition of Designated Resource. Per Section 1.9a of the SPP OATT, a Designated Resource is “[a]ny designated generation resource owned, purchased or leased by a Transmission Customer to serve load in the SPP Region. Designated Resources do not include any resource, or any portion thereof, that is committed for sale to third parties or otherwise cannot be called upon to meet the Transmission Customer's load on a non-interruptible basis.” Therefore, not only network service, but also point-to-point service has potential for base plan funding if the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as base plan upgrades as defined in Section III.B of Attachment J are met.

Pursuant to Attachment J, Section III B of the SPP OATT, the Transmission Customer must provide SPP information necessary to verify that the new or changed Designated Resource meets the following conditions:

1. Transmission Customer's commitment to the requested new or changed Designated Resource must have a duration of at least five years.
2. During the first year the Designated Resource is planned to be used by the Transmission Customer, the accredited capacity of the Transmission Customer's existing Designated Resources plus the lesser of (a) the planned maximum net dependable capacity applicable to the Transmission Customer or (b) the requested capacity; shall not exceed 125% of the Transmission Customer's projected system peak responsibility determined pursuant to SPP Criteria 2.

According to Attachment Z1 Section VI.A, Point-to-Point customers pay the higher of the monthly transmission access charge (base rate) or the monthly revenue requirement associated with the assigned facility upgrades including any prepayments for redispatch required during construction.

Network Integration Service customers pay the total monthly transmission access charges and the monthly revenue requirement associated with the facility upgrades including any prepayments for redispatch during construction.

Transmission Customers paying for a directly assigned network upgrade shall receive credits for new transmission service using the facility as specified in Attachment Z2.

Facilities identified as limiting the requested Transmission Service have been reviewed to determine the required in-service date of each Network Upgrade. The year that each Network Upgrade is required to accommodate a request is determined by interpolating between the

applicable model years given the respective loading data. Both previously assigned facilities and the facilities assigned to this request for Transmission Service were evaluated.

In some instances due to lead times for engineering and construction, Network Upgrades may not be available when required to accommodate a request for Transmission Service. When this occurs, the ATC with available Network Upgrades will be less than the capacity requested during either a portion of or all of the requested reservation period. As a result, the lowest seasonal allocated ATC within the requested reservation period will be offered to the Transmission Customer on an applicable annual basis as listed in Table 1. The ATC may be limited by transmission owner planned projects, expansion plan projects, or customer assigned upgrades.

Some constraints identified in the AFS were not assigned to the Customer as the Transmission Provider determined that upgrades are not required due to various reasons or the Transmission Owner has construction plans pending for these upgrades. These facilities are listed by reservation in Table 3. This table also includes constrained facilities in the current planning horizon that limit the rollover rights of the Transmission Customer. Table 6 lists possible redispatch pairs to allow start of service prior to completion of assigned network upgrades. Table 7 (if applicable) lists deferment of expansion plan projects with different upgrades with the new required in service date as a result of this AFS.

A. Financial Analysis

The AFS utilizes the allocated customer E & C cost in a present worth analysis to determine the monthly levelized revenue requirement of each facility upgrade over the term of the reservation. In some cases, network upgrades cannot be completed within the requested reservation period, thus deferred reservation periods will be utilized in the present worth analysis. If the Customer chose Option 2, Redispatch, in the Letter of Intent sent coincident with the initial AFS, the present worth analysis of revenue requirements will be based on the deferred term with

redispatch in the subsequent AFS. The upgrade levelized revenue requirement includes interest, depreciation, and carrying costs.

Each request for Transmission Service is evaluated independently as the cost associated with each Network Upgrade is assigned to a request. When facilities are upgraded throughout the reservation period, the Transmission Customer shall 1) pay the total E & C costs and other annual operating costs associated with the new facilities, and 2) receive credits associated with the depreciated book value of removed usable facilities, salvage value of removed non-usable facilities, and the carrying charges, excluding depreciation, associated with all removed usable facilities based on their respective book values.

In the event that the engineering and construction of a previously assigned Network Upgrade may be expedited, with no additional upgrades, to accommodate a new request for Transmission Service, then the levelized present worth of only the incremental expenses through the reservation period of the new request, excluding depreciation, shall be assigned to the new request. These incremental expenses, excluding depreciation, include 1) the levelized difference in present worth of the engineering and construction expenses given the change in date to complete construction to account for additional interest expense and reduced engineering and construction expense due to inflation, 2) the levelized present worth of all expediting fees, and 3) the levelized present worth of the incremental annual carrying charges, excluding depreciation and interest, during the new reservation period taking into account both a) the reservation in which the project was originally assigned, and b) a reservation, if any, in which the project was previously expedited.

Achievable Base Plan Avoided Revenue Requirements in the case of a Base Plan upgrade being displaced or deferred by an earlier in service date for a Requested Upgrade shall be determined per Attachment J, Section VII.B methodology. A deferred Base Plan upgrade being defined as a different requested network upgrade needed at an earlier date that negates the need for the initial

base plan upgrade within the planning horizon. A displaced Base Plan upgrade being defined as the same network upgrade being displaced by a requested upgrade needed at an earlier date. Assumption of a 40 year service life is utilized for Base Plan funded projects unless provided otherwise by the Transmission Owner. A present worth analysis of revenue requirements on a common year basis between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades was performed to determine avoided Base Plan revenue requirements due to the displacement or deferral of the Base Plan upgrade by the Requested Upgrade. The difference in present worth between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades is assigned to the transmission requests impacting this upgrade based on the displacement or deferral.

B. Third Party Facilities

For third-party facilities listed in Table 3 and Table 5, the Transmission Customer is responsible for funding the necessary upgrades of these facilities per Section 21.1 of the Transmission Provider's OATT. In this AFS, third-party facilities were identified. Total engineering and construction cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are listed in Table 5. The Transmission Provider will undertake reasonable efforts to assist the Transmission Customer in making arrangements for necessary engineering, permitting, and construction of the third-party facilities. Third-party facility upgrade engineering and construction cost estimates are not utilized to determine the present worth value of levelized revenue requirements for SPP system network upgrades.

All modeled facilities within the Transmission Provider system were monitored during the development of this Study as well as certain facilities in first-tier neighboring systems. Third-party facilities must be upgraded when it is determined that they are overloaded while accommodating the requested Transmission Service. An agreement between the Customer and 3rd Party Owner detailing the mitigation of the 3rd party impact must be provided to the Transmission Provider prior to tendering of a Transmission Service Agreement. These facilities

also include those owned by members of the Transmission Provider who have not placed their facilities under the Transmission Provider's OATT. Upgrades on the Southwest Power Administration network requires prepayment of the upgrade cost prior to construction of the upgrade.

Third-party facilities are evaluated for only those requests whose load sinks within the SPP footprint. The Customer must arrange for study of 3rd party facilities for load that sinks outside the SPP footprint with the applicable Transmission Providers.

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

The system impact analysis was conducted to determine the steady-state impact of the requested service on the SPP and first tier Non - SPP control area systems. The steady-state analysis was done to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Reliability Standards requirements are fulfilled. The Southwest Power Pool conforms to the NERC Reliability Standards, which provide the strictest requirements, related to voltage violations and thermal overloads during normal conditions and during a contingency. It requires that all facilities be within normal operating ratings for normal system conditions and within emergency ratings after a contingency. Normal operating ratings and emergency operating ratings monitored are Rate A and B in the SPP MDWG models, respectively. The upper bound and lower bound of the normal voltage range monitored is 105% and 95%. The upper bound and lower bound of the emergency voltage range monitored is 105% and 90%. Transmission Owner voltage monitoring criteria is used if more restrictive. The SPS Tuco 230 kV bus voltage is monitored at 92.5% due to pre-determined system stability limitations. The WERE Wolf Creek 345 kV bus voltage is monitored at 103.5% and 98.5% due to transmission operating procedure.

The contingency set includes all SPP control area branches and ties 69kV and above, first tier Non - SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above, any defined contingencies for these control areas, and generation unit outages for the control areas with SPP reserve share program redispatch. The monitor elements include all SPP control area branches, ties, and buses 69 kV and above, and all first tier Non – SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above. Voltage monitoring was performed for SPP control area buses 69 kV and above.

A 3 % transfer distribution factor (TDF) cutoff was applied to all SPP control area facilities. For first tier Non – SPP control area facilities, a 3 % TDF cutoff was applied to AECI, AMRN, and ENTR and a 2 % TDF cutoff was applied to MEC, NPPD, and OPPD. For voltage monitoring, a 0.02 per unit change in voltage must occur due to the transfer or modeling upgrades to be considered a valid limit to the transfer.

B. Model Development

SPP used eleven seasonal models to study the aggregate transfers of 1359 MW over a variety of requested service periods. The SPP MDWG 2007 Series Cases Update 2 2008 April (08AP), 2008 Spring Peak (08G), 2008 Summer Peak (08SP), 2008 Summer Shoulder (08SH), 2008 Fall Peak (08FA), 2008/09 Winter Peak (08WP), 2009 Summer Peak (09SP), 2009/10 Winter Peak (09WP), 2012 Summer Peak (12SP), 2012/13 Winter Peak (12WP), and 2017 Summer Peak (17SP) were used to study the impact of the requested service on the transmission system. The Spring Peak models apply to April and May, the Summer Peak models apply to June through September, the Fall Peak models apply to October and November, and the Winter Peak models apply to December through March.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. Five groups of requests were developed from the aggregate of 1359 MW in order to minimize counter flows among requested service. Each request was included in at least two of the four groups depending on the requested path. All requests were included in group five. From the

twelve seasonal models, five system scenarios were developed. Scenario 1 includes SWPP OASIS transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2007 Series Cases flowing in a West to East direction with ERCOTN HVDC Tie South to North, ERCOTE HVDC Tie East to West, SPS exporting, and SPS importing from the Lamar HVDC Tie. Scenario 2 includes transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2007 Series Cases flowing in an East to West direction with ERCOTN HVDC tie North to South, ERCOTE HVDC tie East to West, SPS importing, and SPS exporting to the Lamar HVDC Tie. Scenario 3 includes transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2007 Series Cases flowing in a South to North direction with ERCOTN HVDC tie South to North, ERCOTE HVDC tie East to West, SPS exporting, and SPS exporting to the Lamar HVDC Tie. Scenario 4 includes transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2007 Series Cases flowing in a North to South direction with ERCOTN HVDC tie North to South, ERCOTE HVDC tie East to West, SPS importing, and SPS importing from the Lamar HVDC tie. Scenario 5 include all transmission not already included in the SPP 2007 Series Cases with ERCOTN North to South, ERCOTE East to West, SPS importing and SPS exporting to the Lamar HVDC tie. The system scenarios were developed to minimize counter flows from previously confirmed, higher priority requests not included in the MDWG Base Case.

C. Transmission Request Modeling

Network Integration Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Load transfers in addition to Generation to Generation transfers. The Generation to Load modeling is accomplished by developing a pre-transfer case by redispatching the existing designated network resource(s) down by the new designated network resource request amount and scaling down the applicable network load by the same amount proportionally. The post-transfer case for comparison is developed by scaling the network load back to the forecasted amount and dispatching the new designated network resource being requested. Network Integration Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Load transfers in addition to

Generation to Generation because the requested Network Integration Transmission Service is a request to serve network load with the new designated network resource and the impacts on transmission system are determined accordingly. If the Network Integration Transmission Service request application clearly documents that the existing designated network resource(s) is being replaced or undesignated by the new designated network resource then MW impact credits will be given to the request as is done for a redirect of existing transmission service. Point-To-Point Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Generation transfers. Generation to Generation transfers are accomplished by developing a post-transfer case for comparison by dispatching the request source and redispatching the request sink.

D. Transfer Analysis

Using the selected cases both with and without the requested transfers modeled, the PSS/E Activity ACCC was run on the cases and compared to determine the facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer. Transfer distribution factor cutoffs (SPP and 1st-Tier) and voltage threshold (0.02 change) were applied to determine the impacted facilities. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the analysis can be found in Appendix A.

E. Curtailment and Redispatch Evaluation

During any period when SPP determines that a transmission constraint exists on the Transmission System, and such constraint may impair the reliability of the Transmission System, SPP will take whatever actions that are reasonably necessary to maintain the reliability of the Transmission System. To the extent SPP determines that the reliability of the Transmission System can be maintained by redispatching resources, SPP will evaluate interim curtailment of existing confirmed service or interim redispatch of units to provide service prior to completion of any assigned network upgrades. Any redispatch may not unduly discriminate between the Transmission Owners' use of the Transmission System on behalf of their Native Load Customers and any Transmission Customer's use of the Transmission System to serve its designated load.

Redispatch was evaluated to provide only interim service during the time frame prior to completion of any assigned network upgrades. Curtailment of existing confirmed service is evaluated to provide only interim service. Curtailment of existing confirmed service is only evaluated at the request of the transmission customer.

SPP determined potential relief pairs to relieve the incremental MW impact on limiting facilities as identified in Table 6. Using the selected cases where the limiting facilities were identified, potential incremental and decremental units were identified by determining the generation amount available for increasing and decreasing from the units generation amount, maximum generation amount, and minimum generation amount. If the incremental or decremental amount was greater than 1 MW, the unit was considered as a potential incremental or decremental unit. Generation shift factors were calculated for the potential incremental and decremental units using Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST). Relief pairs from the generation shift factors for the incremental and decremental units with a greater than 3% TDF on the limiting constraint were determined from the incremental units with the lowest generation shift factors and decremental units with highest generation shift factors. If the aggregate redispatch amount for the potential relief pair was determined to be three times greater than the lower of the increment or decrement then the pair was determined not to be feasible and is not included. If transmission customer would like to see additional relief pairs beyond the relief pairs determined, the transmission customer can request SPP to provide the additional pairs. The potential relief pairs **were not** evaluated to determine impacts on limiting facilities in the SPP and 1st-Tier systems. The redispatch requirements would be called upon prior to implementing NERC TLR Level 5a.

4. Study Results

A. Study Analysis Results

Tables 1 through 6 contain the steady-state analysis results of the AFS. Table 1 identifies the participating long-term transmission service requests included in the AFS. This table lists deferred start and stop dates both with and without redispatch (based on customer selection of redispatch if available), the minimum annual allocated ATC without upgrades and season of first impact. Table 2 identifies total E & C cost allocated to each Transmission Customer, letter of credit requirements, third party E & C cost assignments, potential base plan E & C funding (lower of allocated E & C or Attachment J Section III B criteria) , total revenue requirements for assigned upgrades without consideration of potential base plan funding, point-to-point base rate charge, total revenue requirements for assigned upgrades with consideration of potential base plan funding, and final total cost allocation to the Transmission Customer. In addition, Table 2 identifies SWPA upgrade costs which require prepayment in addition to other allocated costs. Table 3 provides additional details for each request including all assigned facility upgrades required, allocated E & C costs, allocated revenue requirements for upgrades, upgrades not assigned to customer but required for service to be confirmed, credits to be paid for previously assigned AFS or GI network upgrades, and any third party upgrades required. Table 4 lists all upgrade requirements with associated solutions needed to provide transmission service for the AFS, Minimum ATC per upgrade with season of impact, Earliest Date Upgrade is required (DUN), Estimated Date the upgrade will be completed and in service (EOC), and Estimated E & C cost. Table 5 lists identified Third-Party constrained facilities. Table 6 identifies potential redispatch pairs available to relieve the aggregate impacts on identified constraints to prevent deferral of start of service. Table 7 (if applicable) identifies deferred expansion plan projects that were replaced with requested upgrades at earlier dates.

The potential base plan funding allowable is contingent upon meeting each of the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as base plan upgrades as defined in

Section III.B of Attachment J. If the additional capacity of the new or changed designated resource exceeds the 125% resource to load forecast for the year of start of service, the requested resource is not eligible for base plan funding of required network upgrades and the full cost of the upgrades is assignable to the customer. If the 5 year term and 125% resource to load criteria are met, the lesser of the planned maximum net dependable capacity (NDC) or the requested capacity is multiplied by \$180,000 to determine the potential base plan funding allowable. When calculating Base Plan Funding amounts that include a wind farm, the amount used is 10% of the requested amount of service, or the NDC. The Maximum Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable may be less than the potential base plan funding allowable due to the E & C Cost allocated to the customer being lower than the potential amount allowable to the customer. The customer is responsible for any assigned upgrade costs in excess of Potential Base Plan Engineering and Construction Funding Allowable.

Regarding application of base plan funding for PTP requests, if PTP base rate exceeds upgrade revenue requirements without taking into effect the reduction of revenue requirements by potential base plan funding, then the base rate revenue pays back the Transmission Owner for upgrades and no base plan funding is applicable as the access charge must be paid as it is the higher of “OR” pricing.

However, if initially the upgrade revenue requirements exceed the PTP base rate, then potential base plan funding would be applicable. The test of the higher of “OR” pricing would then be made against the remaining assignable revenue requirements versus PTP base rate. Examples are as follows:

Example A:

E & C allocated for upgrades is 74 million with revenue requirements of 140 million and PTP base rate of 101 million. Potential base plan funding is 47 million with the difference of 27

million E & C assignable to the customer. If the revenue requirements for the assignable portion is 54 million and the PTP base rate is 101 million, the customer will pay the higher “OR” pricing of 101 million base rate of which 54 million revenue requirements will be paid back to the Transmission Owners for the upgrades and the remaining revenue requirements of (140-54) or 86 million will be paid by base plan funding.

Example B:

E & C allocated for upgrades is 74 million with revenue requirements of 140 million and PTP base rate of 101 million. Potential base plan funding is 10 million with the difference of 64 million E & C assignable to the customer. If the revenue requirements for this assignable portion is 128 million and the PTP base rate is 101 million the customer will pay the higher “OR” pricing of 128 million revenue requirements to be paid back to the Transmission Owners and the remaining revenue requirements of (140-128) or 12 million will be paid by base plan funding.

Example C:

E & C allocated for upgrades is 25 million with revenue requirements of 50 million and PTP base rate of 101 million. Potential base plan funding is 10 million. Base plan funding is not applicable as the higher “OR” pricing of PTP base rate of 101 million must be paid and the 50 million revenue requirements will be paid from this.

The 125% resource to load determination is performed on a per request basis and is not based on a total of designated resource requests per Customer. A footnote will provide the maximum resource designation allowable for base plan funding consideration per Customer basis per year.

Base plan funding verification requires that each Transmission Customer with potential for base plan funding provide SPP attestation statements verifying that the firm capacity of the requested designated resource is committed for a minimum five year duration.

B. Study Definitions

The Date Upgrade Needed Date (DUN) is the earliest date the upgrade is required to alleviate a constraint considering all requests. End of Construction (EOC) is the estimated date the upgrade will be completed and in service. The Total Engineering and Construction Cost (E & C) is the upgrade solution cost as determined by the transmission owner. The Transmission Customer Allocation Cost is the estimated engineering and construction cost based upon the allocation of costs to all Transmission Customers in the AFS who positively impact facilities by at least 3% subsequently overloaded by the AFS. Minimum ATC is the portion of the requested capacity that can be accommodated with out upgrading facilities. Annual ATC allocated to the Transmission Customer is determined by the least amount of allocated seasonal ATC within each year of a reservation period.

5. Conclusion

The results of the AFS show that limiting constraints exist in many areas of the regional transmission system. Due to these constraints, transmission service cannot be granted unless noted in Table 3.

The Transmission Provider tendered a Letter of Intent on December 10th, 2008. This will open a 15-day window for Customer response. To remain in the Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), the Transmission Provider must receive from the Transmission Customer (Customer) by December 25th, 2008, an executed Letter of Intent. The Letter of Intent will list options the Customer must choose to clarify their commitment to remain in the ATSS. The only action required on OASIS is to WITHDRAW the request or leave the request in STUDY mode.

The Transmission Provider must receive an unconditional and irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of the total allocated Engineering and Construction costs assigned to the Customer. This letter of credit is not required for those facilities that are base plan funded. This amount is for all assignable Network Upgrades less pre-payment requirements. The amount of the letter of credit will be adjusted down on an annual basis to reflect amortization of these costs. The Transmission Provider will issue notifications to construct facility upgrades to the constructing Transmission Owner. This date is determined by the engineering and construction lead time provided for each facility upgrade.

6. Appendix A

PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

BASE CASES:

Solutions - Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS)

Tap adjustment – Stepping

Area interchange control – Tie lines and loads

Var limits – Apply immediately

Solution options - Phase shift adjustment

Flat start

Lock DC taps

Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASES:

Solutions – AC contingency checking (ACCC)

MW mismatch tolerance – 0.5

Contingency case rating – Rate B

Percent of rating – 100

Output code – Summary

Min flow change in overload report – 3mw

Excl'd cases w/ no overloads form report – YES

Exclude interfaces from report – NO

Perform voltage limit check – YES

Elements in available capacity table – 60000

Cutoff threshold for available capacity table – 99999.0

Min. contng. case Vltg chng for report – 0.02

Sorted output – None

Newton Solution:

Tap adjustment – Stepping

Area interchange control – Tie lines and loads

Var limits - Apply automatically

Solution options - Phase shift adjustment

Flat start

Lock DC taps

Lock switched shunts

Table 1 - Long-Term Transmission Service Requests Included in Aggregate Facility Study

Customer	Study Number	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date without interim redispatch	Deferred Stop Date without interim redispatch	Start Date with interim redispatch	Stop Date with interim redispatch	Minimum Allocated ATC (MW) with reservation period	Season of Minimum Allocated ATC within reservation period
EDE	AG1-2007-051	1222640	WPEK	EDE	100	11/1/2008	11/1/2028	6/1/2013	6/1/2033	2/1/2009	2/1/2029	0	09SP
INDP	AG1-2007-045	1221966	OPPD	INDN	6	6/1/2009	6/1/2034	6/1/2011	6/1/2036	6/1/2009	6/1/2034	0	09SP
KBPU	AG1-2007-043D	1221923	SPA	KACY	39	7/1/2010	7/1/2020	6/1/2011	6/1/2021	7/1/2010	7/1/2020	0	17SP
KBPU	AG1-2007-044D	1221925	WR	KACY	25	1/1/2008	1/1/2028	6/1/2011	6/1/2031	5/1/2009	5/1/2029	0	08SP
KCPS	AG1-2007-080	1223159	KCPL	EES	52	6/1/2007	6/1/2012	6/1/2011	6/1/2016	5/1/2009	5/1/2014	0	07SP
KPP	AG1-2007-052	1222644	WR	WR	333	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	4/1/2014	4/1/2024	5/1/2009	5/1/2019	0	07SP
KPP	AG1-2007-054	1222904	WPEK	WPEK	3	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	1/1/2011	1/1/2021	5/1/2009	5/1/2019	0	07SP
KPP	AG1-2007-055	1222932	WR	WR	45	6/1/2007	6/1/2027	4/1/2014	4/1/2034	5/1/2009	5/1/2029	0	07SP
KPP	AG1-2007-056	1222937	WR	WPEK	5	6/1/2007	6/1/2027	1/1/2011	1/1/2031	5/1/2009	5/1/2029	0	07SP
KPP	AG1-2007-058	1222955	WR	WR	20	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	4/1/2014	4/1/2024	5/1/2009	5/1/2019	0	07SP
KPP	AG1-2007-064	1223078	WPEK	WPEK	15	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	1/1/2011	1/1/2021	5/1/2009	5/1/2019	0	07SP
SPRM	AG1-2007-042	1220082	SPA	SPA	275	10/1/2010	10/1/2050	10/1/2010	10/1/2050	10/1/2010	10/1/2050	0	17SP
UCU	AG1-2007-025D	1214263	MPS	WR	1	6/1/2007	6/1/2012	6/1/2011	6/1/2016	5/1/2009	5/1/2014	0	07SP
UCU	AG1-2007-023D	1214269	MPS	KCPL	2	6/1/2007	6/1/2012	6/1/2011	6/1/2016	5/1/2009	5/1/2014	0	07SP
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223092	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2011	6/1/2031	10/1/2009	10/1/2029	0	09SP
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223093	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2011	6/1/2031	10/1/2009	10/1/2029	0	09SP
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223094	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2011	6/1/2031	10/1/2009	10/1/2029	0	09SP
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223095	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2011	6/1/2031	10/1/2009	10/1/2029	0	09SP
WRGS	AG1-2007-001D	1197077	EDE	WR	32	9/1/2007	9/1/2018	6/1/2013	6/1/2024	6/1/2013	6/1/2024	0	08SP
WRGS	AG1-2007-047D	1222005	WR	EES	106	10/1/2007	10/1/2010	6/1/2011	6/1/2014	5/1/2009	5/1/2012	0	08SP

1359

Note 1: Disregard Redispatch shown in Table 6 for limitations identified earlier than the start date with redispatch with the exception of limitations identified in the 2008 Summer Shoulder, and 2008 Fall Peak

Note 2: Start and Stop Dates with interim redispatch are determined based on customers choosing option to pursue redispatch to start service at Requested Start and Stop Dates or earliest date possible.

Table 2 - Total Revenue Requirements Associated with Long-Term Transmission Service Requests

Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Engineering and Construction Cost of Upgrades Allocated to Customer for Revenue Requirements	¹ Letter of Credit Amount Required	² Potential Base Plan Engineering and Construction Funding Allowable	Notes	⁴ Additional Engineering and Construction Cost for 3rd Party Upgrades	³ Total Revenue Requirements for Assigned Upgrades Over Term of Reservation WITHOUT Potential Base Plan Funding Allocation	^{3,5} Total Revenue Requirements for Assigned Upgrades Over Term of Reservation WITH Potential Base Plan Funding Allocation	Point-to-Point Base Rate Over Reservation Period	⁴ Total Cost of Reservation Assignable to Customer Contingent Upon Base Plan Funding
EDE	AG1-2007-051	1222640	\$ 14,074	\$ -	\$ 14,074		\$ -	\$ 51,511	\$ -	\$ -	Schedule 9 Charges
INDP	AG1-2007-045	1221966	\$ 60,805	\$ -	\$ -			\$ 301,338	\$ 301,338	\$ 1,584,000	\$ 1,584,000
KBPU	AG1-2007-043D	1221923	\$ 1,531,640	\$ -	\$ -			\$ 4,115,216	\$ 4,115,216	\$ 4,118,400	\$ 4,118,400
KBPU	AG1-2007-044D	1221925	\$ 202,479	\$ -	\$ -			\$ 840,070	\$ 840,070	\$ 5,280,000	\$ 5,280,000
KCPS	AG1-2007-080	1223159	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,964,000	\$ 2,964,000
KPP	AG1-2007-052	1222644	\$ 33,385,752	\$ -	\$ 33,385,752			\$ 77,517,217	\$ -	\$ -	Schedule 9 Charges
KPP	AG1-2007-054	1222904	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Schedule 9 Charges
KPP	AG1-2007-055	1222932	\$ 10,731,093	\$ -	\$ 10,731,093			\$ 33,976,175	\$ -	\$ -	Schedule 9 Charges
KPP	AG1-2007-056	1222937	\$ 24,921	\$ -	\$ 24,921			\$ 85,863	\$ -	\$ -	Schedule 9 Charges
KPP	AG1-2007-058	1222955	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Schedule 9 Charges
KPP	AG1-2007-064	1223078	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Schedule 9 Charges
SPRM	AG1-2007-042	1220082	\$ 120,000	\$ -	\$ 120,000			\$ 619,237	\$ -	\$ -	Schedule 9 Charges
UCU	AG1-2007-023D	1214269	\$ 179	\$ -	\$ -			\$ 389	\$ 389	\$ 105,600	\$ 105,600
UCU	AG1-2007-025D	1214263	\$ 3,807	\$ -	\$ -			\$ 8,220	\$ 8,220	\$ 143,940	\$ 143,940
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223092	\$ 3,370,077	\$ -	\$ -		\$ 36,250	\$ 12,843,052	\$ 12,843,052	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 29,033,000
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223093	\$ 3,370,077	\$ -	\$ -		\$ 36,250	\$ 12,843,052	\$ 12,843,052	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 29,033,000
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223094	\$ 3,370,077	\$ -	\$ -		\$ 36,250	\$ 12,843,052	\$ 12,843,052	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 29,033,000
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223095	\$ 3,370,077	\$ -	\$ -		\$ 36,250	\$ 12,843,052	\$ 12,843,052	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 29,033,000
WRGS	AG1-2007-001D	1197077	\$ 28,867	\$ -	\$ 28,867		\$ -	\$ 73,595	\$ -	\$ -	Schedule 9 Charges
WRGS	AG1-2007-047D	1222005	\$ 637,995	\$ -	\$ -			\$ 1,248,037	\$ 1,248,037	\$ 3,625,200	\$ 3,625,200
Grand Total			\$ 60,221,920		\$ 44,304,707			\$ 170,209,076	\$ 57,885,477		

Table 2 - Total Revenue Requirements Associated with Long-Term Transmission Service Requests

Note 1: Letter of Credit required for financial security for transmission owner for network upgrades is determined by allocated engineering and construction costs less engineering and construction costs for upgrades when network customer is the transmission owner less the E & C allocation of expedited projects. Letter of Credit is not required for base plan funded upgrades or if upgrades are funded by point to point base rate. The Letter Of Credit Amount listed is based on meeting OATT Attachment J requirements for base plan funding.

Note 2: If potential base plan funding is applicable, this value is the lesser of the Engineering and Construction costs of assignable upgrades or the value of base plan funding calculated pursuant to Attachment J, Section III B criteria. Allocation of base plan funding is contingent upon verification of customer agreements meeting Attachment J, Section II B criteria. Not applicable if Point-to-Point base rate exceeds revenue requirements.

Note 3: Revenue Requirements (RR) are based upon deferred end dates if applicable. Deferred dates are based upon customer's choice to pursue redispatch. Achievable Base Plan Avoided RR in the case of a Base Plan upgrade being displaced or deferred by an earlier in service date for a Requested Upgrade shall be determined per Attachment J, Section VII.C methodology. Assumption of a 40 year service life is utilized for Base Plan funded projects. A present worth analysis of RR on a common year basis between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades was performed to determine avoided Base Plan RR due to the displacement or deferral of the Base Plan upgrade by the Requested Upgrade. The incremental increase in present worth of a Requested Upgrade on a common year basis as a Base Plan upgrade is assigned to the transmission requests impacting the upgrade based on the displacement or deferral. If the displacement analysis results in lower RR due to the shorter amortization period of the requested upgrade when compared to a base plan amortization period, then no direct assignment of the upgrade cost is made due to the displacement to an earlier start date.

Note 4: For Point-to-Point requests, total cost is based on the higher of the base rate or assigned upgrade revenue requirements. For Network requests, the total cost is based on the assigned upgrade revenue requirement. Allocation of base plan funding will be determined after verification of designated resource meeting Attachment J, Section II B Criteria. Additionally E & C of 3rd Party upgrades is assignable to Customer. This includes prepayments required for any SWPA upgrades. Revenue requirements for 3rd Party facilities are not calculated. Total cost to customer is based on assumption of Revenue Requirements with confirmation of base plan funding. Customer is responsible for negotiating redispatch costs if applicable. Customer is also responsible to pay credits for previously assigned upgrades that are impacted by their request. Credits can be paid from base plan funding if applicable.

Note 5: RR with base plan funding may increase or decrease even if no base plan funding is applicable to a particular request if another request that shares the upgrade is now full base plan funded resulting in a different amortization period for the upgrade and thus different RR.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
EDE AG1-2007-051

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
EDE	1222640	WPEK	EDE	100	11/1/2008	11/1/2028	6/1/2013	6/1/2033	\$ 14,074	\$ -	\$ 14,074	\$ 51,511
									\$ 14,074	\$ -	\$ 14,074	\$ 51,511

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1222640	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 14,074	\$ 50,000	\$ 51,511
					Total	\$ 14,074	\$ 50,000	\$ 51,511

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222640	AUBURN ROAD (AUBRN77X) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	BULL SHOALS - BULL SHOALS 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes
	EAST MANHATTAN - JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER 230KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013		
	EAST MANHATTAN - NW MANHATTAN 230/115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2012		
	East Manhattan to McDowell 230 kv	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	FLINT CREEK - SHIPE ROAD - EAST ROGERS - OSAGE 345KV	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	Knob Hill - Steele City 115 kv	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	SUB 376 - MONETT CITY SOUTH 161/69/12.5KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	SUB 383 - MONETT - SUB 376 - MONETT CITY SOUTH 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2010		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222640	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2014	6/1/2014		
	JAMESVILLE - SUB 415 - BLACKHAWK JCT. 69KV CKT 1 EMDE	6/1/2014	6/1/2012		
	KERR - PENSACOLA 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2012	6/1/2011		
	Multi - Stateline - Joplin - Reinmilller conversion	6/1/2012	6/1/2013		Yes
	SUB 124 - AURORA H.T. - SUB 152 - MONETT H.T. 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	
	SUB 145 - JOPLIN WEST 7TH - SUB 64 - JOPLIN 10TH ST. 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	SUB 170 - NICHOLS ST. - SUB 80 - SEDALIA 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	SUB 271 - BAXTER SPRINGS WEST - SUB 404 - HOCKERVILLE 69KV CKT 1	12/1/2010	6/1/2010		

Planned Projects

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222640	SOUTHWEST - SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2012		

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222640	RENO 345/115KV CKT 1	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 2	12/1/2009	8/1/2009		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		

*EMDE has worked out a contractual arrangement regarding the Huben transformer with AECl. The executed contractual arrangement between AECl and EMDE will facilitate the ability of SPP to provide the firm transmission service to EMDE.

**Energy limitations were identified through the ICT Affected System Study ASA-2008-003. ST. JOE - HILL TOP 161KV CKT 1 and EVERTON - HARRISON-EAST 161KV CKT 1 can be mitigated by redispatch identified in Table 6.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 INDP AG1-2007-045

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
INDP	1221966	OPPD	INDN	6	6/1/2009	6/1/2034	6/1/2011	6/1/2036	\$ -	\$ 1,584,000	\$ 60,805	\$ 301,338
									\$ -	\$ 1,584,000	\$ 60,805	\$ 301,338

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements	
1221966	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2011	10/1/2010	Yes*	\$ 40,075	\$ 4,400,000	\$ 204,509	
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 748	\$ 50,000	\$ 3,279	
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes*	\$ 19,982	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 93,550	
						Total	\$ 60,805	\$ 6,650,000	\$ 301,338

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1221966	ALABAMA - LAKE ROAD 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	Grandview East - Sampson - Longview 161kV Ckt 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	Loma Vista - Montrose 161kV Tap into K.C. South	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes*
	South Harper 161 kV cut-in to Stilwell-Archie JCT 161 kV line	6/1/2009	11/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes*
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	6/1/2011	12/1/2010		
	SUBSTATION M 161/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2010	6/1/2011	10/1/2010	Yes*

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1221966	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	MERRIAM - ROELAND PARK 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		

*Requested evaluation of the curtailment of existing service is provided in addition to redispatch in report tables. Refer to INDN Curtailment tab.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
KBPU AG1-2007-043D

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
KBPU	1221923	SPA	KACY	39	7/1/2010	7/1/2020	6/1/2011	6/1/2021	\$ -	\$ 4,118,400	\$ 1,531,640	\$ 4,115,216
									\$ -	\$ 4,118,400	\$ 1,531,640	\$ 4,115,216

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1221923	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 498,594	\$ 8,400,000	\$ 1,299,516
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 777,569	\$ 13,100,000	\$ 1,984,267
	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2011	10/1/2010	Yes	\$ 147,349	\$ 4,400,000	\$ 493,877
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 3,317	\$ 50,000	\$ 9,716
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 104,811	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 327,840
					Total	\$ 1,531,640	\$ 28,150,000	\$ 4,115,216

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1221923	ALABAMA - LAKE ROAD 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	South Harper 161 kV cut-in to Stilwell-Archie JCT 161 kV line	6/1/2009	11/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1221923	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1221923	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
KBPU AG1-2007-044D

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
KBPU	1221925	WR	KACY	25	1/1/2008	1/1/2028	6/1/2011	6/1/2031	\$ -	\$ 5,280,000	\$ 202,479	\$ 840,070
									\$ -	\$ 5,280,000	\$ 202,479	\$ 840,070

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1221925	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2011	10/1/2010	Yes	\$ 99,516	\$ 4,400,000	\$ 430,008
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 4,420	\$ 50,000	\$ 16,529
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 98,543	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 393,533
					Total	\$ 202,479	\$ 6,650,000	\$ 840,070

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1221925	ALABAMA - LAKE ROAD 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	AUBURN ROAD (AUBRN77X) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	South Harper 161 kV cut-in to Stilwell-Archie JCT 161 kV line	6/1/2009	11/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	Summit - NE Saline 115 kV	5/1/2009	1/1/2010		Yes

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1221925	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1221925	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 1	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 2	12/1/2009	8/1/2009		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 KCPS AG1-2007-080

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
KCPS	1223159	KCPL	EES	52	6/1/2007	6/1/2012	6/1/2011	6/1/2016	\$ -	\$ 2,964,000	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ 2,964,000	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1223159	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1223159	Grandview East - Sampson - Longview 161kV Ckt 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	Loma Vista - Montrose 161kV Tap into K.C. South	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes
	South Harper 161 kV cut-in to Stilwell-Archie JCT 161 kV line	6/1/2009	11/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1223159	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1223159	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
KPP AG1-2007-052

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
KPP	1222644	WR	WR	333	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	4/1/2014	4/1/2024	\$ 33,385,752	\$ -	\$ 33,385,752	\$ 77,517,217
									\$ 33,385,752	\$ -	\$ 33,385,752	\$ 77,517,217

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1222644	ALLEN - LEHIGH TAP 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2012		Yes	\$ 2,040,323	\$ 2,560,500	\$ 4,629,105
	ALLEN 69KV Capacitor	5/1/2009	6/1/2012		Yes***	\$ 491,390	\$ 607,500	\$ 1,177,343
	ALTOONA EAST 69KV Capacitor	6/1/2009	6/1/2014			\$ 350,750	\$ 607,500	\$ 862,348
	ATHENS 69KV Capacitor	5/1/2009	6/1/2013		Yes***	\$ 491,390	\$ 607,500	\$ 1,139,251
	Athens to Owl Creek 69 kV	5/1/2009	4/1/2011		Yes***	\$ 1,194,323	\$ 1,418,500	\$ 2,813,948
	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 3,920,148	\$ 8,400,000	\$ 9,280,660
	BURLINGTON JUNCTION - COFFEY COUNTY NO. 3 WESTPHALIA 69KV CKT 1	5/1/2009	7/1/2013		Yes***	\$ 2,808,717	\$ 3,340,000	\$ 6,494,183
	BURLINGTON JUNCTION - WOLF CREEK 69KV CKT 1	5/1/2009	1/1/2013		Yes***	\$ 1,306,071	\$ 1,945,000	\$ 3,069,608
	CHANUTE TAP - TIOGA 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 92,996	\$ 115,000	\$ 224,973
	CITY OF IOLA - UNITED NO. 9 CONGER 69KV CKT 1	6/4/2009	6/4/2011		Yes	\$ 1,467,168	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 3,601,704
	COFFEY COUNTY NO. 3 WESTPHALIA - GREEN 69KV CKT 1	5/1/2009	4/1/2014		Yes***	\$ 3,573,125	\$ 4,249,000	\$ 8,055,645
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE #2	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 458,585	\$ 600,000	\$ 1,109,394
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 6,113,563	\$ 13,100,000	\$ 14,170,900
	Green to Vernon 69 kV	5/1/2009	7/1/2010		Yes***	\$ 2,804,933	\$ 3,335,500	\$ 6,768,017
	LEHIGH TAP - OWL CREEK 69KV CKT 1	5/1/2009	12/1/2011		Yes***	\$ 3,209,137	\$ 3,811,500	\$ 7,400,336
	LEHIGH TAP - UNITED NO. 9 CONGER 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes***	\$ 483,983	\$ 593,775	\$ 1,178,500
	NEOSHO - NORTHEAST PARSONS 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 183,112	\$ 250,000	\$ 493,839
	Rice County to Ellinwood 34.5KV	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		Yes***	\$ 1,331,292	\$ 1,812,500	\$ 2,587,479
	TIOGA 69KV Capacitor	5/1/2009	6/1/2011			\$ 491,390	\$ 607,500	\$ 1,216,105
	Vernon to Athens 69 kV	5/1/2009	1/1/2011		Yes***	\$ 2,040,524	\$ 2,426,500	\$ 4,845,584
					Total	\$ 33,385,752	\$ 50,387,775	\$ 77,517,217

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222644	Fort Scott - SW Bourbon 161 kV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	Fort Scott 161/69kV Transformer CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	ROSE HILL JUNCTION - WEAVER 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	12/1/2010		Yes
	SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	6/1/2011	12/1/2010		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222644	RICHLAND - ROSE HILL JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes***
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2012		Yes
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV WERE	6/1/2009	1/1/2013		Yes
	Sumner County to Timber Junction 138/69 kV	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes***

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222644	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222644	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 1	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 2	12/1/2009	6/1/2009		

*Reservation 1222644 and 1222955 were studied as one request

***Redispatch is available with additional Long-Term Firm Import Capacity requirements in Table 8

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
KPP AG1-2007-054

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
KPP	1222904	WPEK	WPEK	3	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	1/1/2011	1/1/2021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1222904	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation 1223078 and 1222904 were studied as one request

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
KPP AG1-2007-055

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
KPP	1222932	WR	WR	45	6/1/2007	6/1/2027	4/1/2014	4/1/2034	\$ 10,731,093	-	\$ 10,731,093	\$ 33,976,175
									\$ 10,731,093	-	\$ 10,731,093	\$ 33,976,175

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1222932	ALLEN - LEHIGH TAP 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2012		Yes	\$ 520,177	\$ 2,560,500	\$ 1,590,294
	ALLEN 69KV Capacitor	5/1/2009	6/1/2012		Yes***	\$ 116,110	\$ 607,500	\$ 374,865
	ALTOONA EAST 69KV Capacitor	6/1/2009	6/1/2014			\$ 256,750	\$ 607,500	\$ 850,596
	ARKANSAS CITY - PARIS 69KV CKT 1 #1 Displacement	6/4/2009	6/4/2010	40/4/2009	Yes	\$ 3,983	\$ 3,983	\$ 14,436
	ATHENS 69KV Capacitor	5/1/2009	6/1/2013		Yes***	\$ 116,110	\$ 607,500	\$ 362,736
	Athens to Owl Creek 69 kV	5/1/2009	4/1/2011		Yes***	\$ 224,177	\$ 1,418,500	\$ 711,727
	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,006,487	\$ 8,400,000	\$ 3,314,094
	BURLINGTON JUNCTION - COFFEY COUNTY NO. 3 WESTPHALIA 69KV CKT 1	5/1/2009	7/1/2013		Yes***	\$ 531,283	\$ 3,340,000	\$ 1,655,277
	BURLINGTON JUNCTION - WOLF CREEK 69KV CKT 1	5/1/2009	1/1/2013		Yes***	\$ 638,929	\$ 1,945,000	\$ 2,023,471
	CHANUTE TAP - TIOGA 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 22,004	\$ 115,000	\$ 71,729
	CITY OF IOLA - UNITED NO. 9 CONGER 69KV CKT 1	6/4/2009	6/4/2011		Yes	\$ 332,832	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,070,416
	CITY OF WINFIELD - RAINBOW 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes***	\$ 1,645,279	\$ 1,645,279	\$ 5,240,607
	COFFEY COUNTY NO. 3 WESTPHALIA - GREEN 69KV CKT 1	5/1/2009	4/1/2014		Yes***	\$ 675,875	\$ 4,249,000	\$ 2,053,273
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE #2	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 133,906	\$ 600,000	\$ 436,510
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,569,640	\$ 13,100,000	\$ 5,060,382
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 4,834	\$ 50,000	\$ 18,077
	CRESWELL - OAK 69KV CKT 1 #1 Displacement	6/4/2009	6/4/2010	40/4/2009	Yes	\$ 13,666	\$ 13,666	\$ 48,696
	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 156,994	\$ 201,238	\$ 540,449
	Green to Vernon 69 kV	5/1/2009	7/1/2010		Yes***	\$ 530,567	\$ 3,335,500	\$ 1,725,073
	LEHIGH TAP - OWL CREEK 69KV CKT 1	5/1/2009	12/1/2011		Yes***	\$ 602,363	\$ 3,811,500	\$ 1,871,758
	LEHIGH TAP - UNITED NO. 9 CONGER 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011			\$ 109,792	\$ 593,775	\$ 360,245
	NEOSHO - NORTHEAST PARSONS 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 63,914	\$ 250,000	\$ 232,270
	OAK - RAINBOW 69KV CKT 1	6/4/2009	6/4/2011	40/4/2010	Yes***	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 6,061,954
	OXFORD 138KV Capacitor Displacement	6/4/2009	6/4/2011		Yes***	\$ 17,874	\$ 27,618	\$ 67,476
	Rice County to Ellinwood 34.5kV	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		Yes***	\$ 481,208	\$ 1,812,500	\$ 1,270,649
	TIMBER JCT CAP BANK	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes***	\$ 822,608	\$ 1,215,000	\$ 2,589,813
	TIOGA 69KV Capacitor	5/1/2009	6/1/2011			\$ 116,110	\$ 607,500	\$ 387,206
	Vernon to Athens 69 kV	5/1/2009	1/1/2011		Yes***	\$ 385,976	\$ 2,426,500	\$ 1,235,074
					Total	\$ 10,731,093	\$ 53,499,292	\$ 33,976,175

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222932	AUBURN ROAD (AUBRN77X) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	BISMARCK JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - FARMERS CONSUMER CO-OP 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	BISMARCK JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - MIDLAND JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	EAST MANHATTAN - JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER 230KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013		
	EAST MANHATTAN - NW MANHATTAN 230/115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2012		
	East Manhattan to McDowell 230 kv	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	FARMERS CONSUMER CO-OP - WAKARUSA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	Fort Scott - SW Bourbon 161 kV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	Fort Scott 161/69kV Transformer CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 1	6/4/2016	6/4/2014		
	KELLY - SOUTH SENECA 115KV CKT 1	5/1/2009	1/1/2011		Yes
	Knob Hill - Steele City 115 kV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	LAWRENCE HILL - MOCKINGBIRD HILL SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	RIGHLAND - ROSE HILL JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1	4/4/2009	6/4/2011		Yes***
	ROSE HILL JUNCTION - WEAVER 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	12/1/2010		Yes
	SOUTHWEST LAWRENCE - WAKARUSA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	6/1/2011	12/1/2010		
	Summit - NE Saline 115 kV	5/1/2009	1/1/2010		Yes

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222932	95TH & WAVERLY - CAPTAIN JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2014	6/1/2014		
	CHASE - WHITE JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		Yes
	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 #2	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	RICHLAND - ROSE HILL JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes***
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2012	10/1/2010	Yes
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV WERE	6/1/2009	1/1/2013	10/1/2010	Yes
	Sumner County to Timber Junction 138/69 kV	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes***

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222932	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes
	ROSE HILL (ROSEHL1X) 345/138/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 3 Displacement	5/1/2009	6/1/2011		

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222932	RENO 345/115KV CKT 1	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 2	12/1/2009	8/1/2009		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		

*A Transmission Operating Directive will need to be developed to document the minimum allowable generation per season in order maintain system reliability and evaluation of short term transmission service requests.

***Redispatch is available with additional Long-Term Firm Import Capacity requirements in Table 8

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
KPP AG1-2007-056

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
KPP	1222937	WR	WPEK	5	6/1/2007	6/1/2027	1/1/2011	1/1/2031	\$ 24,921	-	\$ 24,921	\$ 85,863
									\$ 24,921	\$ -	\$ 24,921	\$ 85,863

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1222937	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 243	\$ 50,000	\$ 909
	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 24,678	\$ 201,238	\$ 84,954
Total						\$ 24,921	\$ 251,238	\$ 85,863

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222937	AUBURN ROAD (AUBRN77X) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	BISMARCK JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - FARMERS CONSUMER CO-OP 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	BISMARCK JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - MIDLAND JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	Cimarron Plant Substation Expansion	6/1/2012	1/1/2010		
	EAST MANHATTAN - JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER 230KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013		
	EAST MANHATTAN - NW MANHATTAN 230/115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2012		
	East Manhattan to McDowell 230 kv	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	FARMERS CONSUMER CO-OP - WAKARUSA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2014		
	HARPER 138KV Capacitor	6/1/2009	10/1/2009		Yes***
	HOLCOMB - PLYMELL 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	12/1/2009		
	KELLY - SOUTH SENECA 115KV CKT 1	5/1/2009	1/1/2011		Yes
	Knob Hill - Steele City 115 kv	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	LAWRENCE HILL - MOCKINGBIRD HILL SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	PIONEER TAP - PLYMELL 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	12/1/2009		
	SOUTHWEST LAWRENCE - WAKARUSA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	Summit - NE Saline 115 kv	5/1/2009	1/1/2010		Yes

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222937	95TH & WAVERLY - CAPTAIN JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	CHASE - WHITE JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		
	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 #2	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	HUNTSVILLE - HUTCHINSON ENERGY CENTER 115KV CKT 1 MIDW	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	HUNTSVILLE - HUTCHINSON ENERGY CENTER 115KV CKT 1 WERE	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	HUNTSVILLE - ST JOHN 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	NORTH CIMARRON CAPACITOR	6/1/2012	12/1/2008		
	PRATT - ST JOHN 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	SEVENTEENTH () 138/69/11.295KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222937	ROSE HILL (ROSEHL1X) 345/138/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 3 Displacement	5/1/2009	6/1/2011		

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222937	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 1	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 2	12/1/2009	8/1/2009		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		

**A Transmission Operating Directive will need to be developed to document the minimum allowable generation per season in order maintain system reliability and evaluation of short term transmission service requests.

***Redispatch is available with additional Long-Term Firm Import Capacity requirements in Table 8

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
KPP AG1-2007-058

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
KPP	1222955	WR	WR	20	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	4/1/2014	4/1/2024	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1222955	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation 1222644 and 1222955 were studied as one request

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
KPP AG1-2007-064

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
KPP	1223078	WPEK	WPEK	15	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	1/1/2011	1/1/2021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1223078	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1223078	Cimarron Plant Substation Expansion	6/1/2012	1/1/2010		
	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 4	6/1/2016	6/1/2014		
	HARPER 138KV Capacitor	6/1/2009	10/1/2009		Yes***
	HOLCOMB - PLYMELL 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	12/1/2009		
	KELLY - SOUTH SENECA 115KV CKT 1	5/1/2009	1/1/2011		Yes
	Knob Hill - Steele City 115 kV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	PIONEER TAP - PLYMELL 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	12/1/2009		
	Summit - NE Saline 115 kV	5/1/2009	1/1/2010		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1223078	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	NORTH CIMARRON CAPACITOR	6/1/2012	12/1/2008		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1223078	ROSE HILL (ROSEHL1X) 345/138/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 3 Displacement	5/1/2009	6/1/2011		

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1223078	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 1	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 2	12/1/2009	8/1/2009		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		

*Reservation 1223078 and 1222904 were studied as one request

***Redispatch is available with additional Long-Term Firm Import Capacity requirements in Table 8

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 SPRM AG1-2007-042

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
SPRM	1220082	SPA	SPA	275	10/1/2010	10/1/2050			\$ 120,000	\$ -	\$ 120,000	\$ 619,237
									\$ 120,000	\$ -	\$ 120,000	\$ 619,237

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1220082	BROOKLINE - JUNCTION 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013			\$ 120,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 619,237
					Total	\$ 120,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 619,237

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1220082	KICKAPOO - SUNSET 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2014	6/1/2012		
	NEERGARD - NORTON 69KV CKT 1	10/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	SPRINGFIELD (SPF X1) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	6/1/2011	12/1/2010		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1220082	JAMES RIVER - TWIN OAKS 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2014		

Planned Projects

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1220082	SOUTHWEST - SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2012		

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
UCU AG1-2007-023D

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
UCU	1214269	MPS	KCPL	2	6/1/2007	6/1/2012	6/1/2011	6/1/2016	\$ -	\$ 105,600	\$ 179	\$ 389
									\$ -	\$ 105,600	\$ 179	\$ 389

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1214269	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 179	\$ 50,000	\$ 389
					Total	\$ 179	\$ 50,000	\$ 389

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1214269	ALABAMA - LAKE ROAD 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	Grandview East - Sampson - Longview 161kV Ckt 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	Loma Vista - Montrose 161kV Tap into K.C. South	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes
	South Harper 161 kV cut-in to Stilwell-Archie JCT 161 kV line	6/1/2009	11/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1214269	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	South Harper - Freeman 69 kV	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1214269	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
UCU AG1-2007-025D

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
UCU	1214263	MPS	WR	1	6/1/2007	6/1/2012	6/1/2011	6/1/2016	\$ -	\$ 143,940	\$ 3,807	\$ 8,220
									\$ -	\$ 143,940	\$ 3,807	\$ 8,220

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1214263	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE #2	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 589	\$ 600,000	\$ 1,208
	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 2,874	\$ 201,238	\$ 6,225
	NEOSHO - NORTHEAST PARSONS 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 344	\$ 250,000	\$ 787
Total						\$ 3,807	\$ 1,051,238	\$ 8,220

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1214263	ALABAMA - LAKE ROAD 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	Grandview East - Sampson - Longview 161kV Ckt 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	HARPER 138KV Capacitor	6/1/2009	10/1/2009		
	Loma Vista - Montrose 161kV Tap into K.C. South	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes
	South Harper 161 kV cut-in to Stilwell-Archie JCT 161 kV line	6/1/2009	11/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	6/1/2011	12/1/2010		
	Summit - NE Saline 115 kV	5/1/2009	1/1/2010		Yes

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1214263	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2014	6/1/2014		
	BPU - CITY OF MCPHERSON JOHNS-MANVILLE - EAST MCPHERSON SWITCHING STATION 115KV	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		
	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 #2	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	South Harper - Freeman 69 kV	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1214263	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	
	ROSE HILL (ROSEHLX) 345/138/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 3 Displacement	5/1/2009	6/1/2011		

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1214263	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 1	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 2	12/1/2009	8/1/2009		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
UCU AG1-2007-060D

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
UCU	1223092	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2011	6/1/2031	\$ -	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 3,370,077	\$ 12,843,052
UCU	1223093	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2011	6/1/2031	\$ -	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 3,370,077	\$ 12,843,052
UCU	1223094	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2011	6/1/2031	\$ -	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 3,370,077	\$ 12,843,052
UCU	1223095	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2011	6/1/2031	\$ -	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 3,370,077	\$ 12,843,052
									\$ -	\$ 115,992,000	\$ 13,480,308	\$ 51,372,210

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1223092	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 743,693	\$ 8,400,000	\$ 2,534,848
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,159,807	\$ 13,100,000	\$ 3,870,531
	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2011	10/1/2010	Yes	\$ 1,028,265	\$ 4,400,000	\$ 4,622,058
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 3,196	\$ 50,000	\$ 12,403
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 435,116	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 1,803,212
	Total					\$ 3,370,077	\$ 28,150,000	\$ 12,843,052
1223093	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 743,693	\$ 8,400,000	\$ 2,534,848
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,159,807	\$ 13,100,000	\$ 3,870,531
	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2011	10/1/2010	Yes	\$ 1,028,265	\$ 4,400,000	\$ 4,622,058
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 3,196	\$ 50,000	\$ 12,403
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 435,116	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 1,803,212
	Total					\$ 3,370,077	\$ 28,150,000	\$ 12,843,052
1223094	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 743,693	\$ 8,400,000	\$ 2,534,848
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,159,807	\$ 13,100,000	\$ 3,870,531
	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2011	10/1/2010	Yes	\$ 1,028,265	\$ 4,400,000	\$ 4,622,058
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 3,196	\$ 50,000	\$ 12,403
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 435,116	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 1,803,212
	Total					\$ 3,370,077	\$ 28,150,000	\$ 12,843,052
1223095	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 743,693	\$ 8,400,000	\$ 2,534,848
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,159,807	\$ 13,100,000	\$ 3,870,531
	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2011	10/1/2010	Yes	\$ 1,028,265	\$ 4,400,000	\$ 4,622,058
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 3,196	\$ 50,000	\$ 12,403
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 435,116	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 1,803,212
	Total					\$ 3,370,077	\$ 28,150,000	\$ 12,843,052

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1223092	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	EDMOND SUB	6/1/2009	6/1/2011	10/1/2010	Yes
	Grandview East - Sampson - Longview 161kV Ckt 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	Loma Vista - Montrose 161kV Tap into K.C. South	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes
	South Harper 161 kV cut-in to Stilwell-Archie JCT 161 kV line	6/1/2009	11/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	6/1/2011	12/1/2010		
	1223093	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2009	
DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 OKGE		6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
EDMOND SUB		6/1/2009	6/1/2011	10/1/2010	Yes
Grandview East - Sampson - Longview 161kV Ckt 1		6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
Loma Vista - Montrose 161kV Tap into K.C. South		6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes
South Harper 161 kV cut-in to Stilwell-Archie JCT 161 kV line		6/1/2009	11/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV		6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2		6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV		6/1/2011	12/1/2010		
1223094		DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2009	
	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	EDMOND SUB	6/1/2009	6/1/2011	10/1/2010	Yes
	Grandview East - Sampson - Longview 161kV Ckt 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	Loma Vista - Montrose 161kV Tap into K.C. South	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes
	South Harper 161 kV cut-in to Stilwell-Archie JCT 161 kV line	6/1/2009	11/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	6/1/2011	12/1/2010		
	1223095	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2009	
DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 OKGE		6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
EDMOND SUB		6/1/2009	6/1/2011	10/1/2010	Yes
Grandview East - Sampson - Longview 161kV Ckt 1		6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
Loma Vista - Montrose 161kV Tap into K.C. South		6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes
South Harper 161 kV cut-in to Stilwell-Archie JCT 161 kV line		6/1/2009	11/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV		6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2		6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV		6/1/2011	12/1/2010		

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1223092	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2014	6/1/2014		
	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1 #1	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA #1	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	No
	RALPH GREEN 12MVAR CAPACITOR	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2012	10/1/2010	Yes
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV WERE	6/1/2009	1/1/2013	10/1/2010	Yes
1223093	South Harper - Freeman 69 kV	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2014	6/1/2014		
	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1 #1	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA #1	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	No
	RALPH GREEN 12MVAR CAPACITOR	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2012	10/1/2010	Yes
1223094	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV WERE	6/1/2009	1/1/2013	10/1/2010	Yes
	South Harper - Freeman 69 kV	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2014	6/1/2014		
	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1 #1	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA #1	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	No
	RALPH GREEN 12MVAR CAPACITOR	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
1223095	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2012	10/1/2010	Yes
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV WERE	6/1/2009	1/1/2013	10/1/2010	Yes
	South Harper - Freeman 69 kV	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2014	6/1/2014		
	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1 #1	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA #1	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	No

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1223092	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1 #2	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes
	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
1223093	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1 #2	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes
1223094	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1 #2	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes
1223095	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes
	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1 #2	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1223092	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		
1223093	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		
1223094	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		
1223095	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		

Third Party Limitations.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Start Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost
1223092	SCALCR - NORFORK 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	No	\$ 25,000	\$ 100,000
	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA #2	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 11,250	\$ 45,000
	Total					\$ 36,250	\$ 145,000
1223093	SCALCR - NORFORK 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	No	\$ 25,000	\$ 100,000
	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA #2	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 11,250	\$ 45,000
	Total					\$ 36,250	\$ 145,000
1223094	SCALCR - NORFORK 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	No	\$ 25,000	\$ 100,000
	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA #2	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 11,250	\$ 45,000
	Total					\$ 36,250	\$ 145,000
1223095	SCALCR - NORFORK 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	No	\$ 25,000	\$ 100,000
	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA #2	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 11,250	\$ 45,000
	Total					\$ 36,250	\$ 145,000

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 WRGS AG1-2007-001D

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
WRGS	1197077	EDE	WR	32	9/1/2007	9/1/2018	6/1/2013	6/1/2024	\$ 28,867	\$ -	\$ 28,867	\$ 73,595
									\$ 28,867	\$ -	\$ 28,867	\$ 73,595

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1197077	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE #2	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 6,920	\$ 600,000	\$ 17,279
	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 16,692	\$ 201,238	\$ 44,015
	LITCHFIELD - AQUARIUS - HUDSON JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2014	6/1/2014			\$ 2,626	\$ 2,626	\$ 4,983
	NEOSHO - NORTHEAST PARSONS 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 2,629	\$ 250,000	\$ 7,318
					Total	\$ 28,867	\$ 1,053,864	\$ 73,595

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1197077	AUBURN ROAD (AUBRN77X) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	EAST MANHATTAN - JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER 230KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013		
	EAST MANHATTAN - NW MANHATTAN 230/115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2012		
	East Manhattan to Mcdowell 230 kv	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	FLINT CREEK - SHIPE ROAD - EAST ROGERS - OSAGE 345KV	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	Fort Scott - SW Bourbon 161 kv	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	Fort Scott 161/69kv Transformer CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 4	6/1/2016	6/1/2014		
	HARPER 138KV Capacitor	6/1/2009	10/1/2009		
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	SUB 376 - MONETT CITY SOUTH 161/69/12.5KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	SUB 383 - MONETT - SUB 376 - MONETT CITY SOUTH 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	SUB 389 - JOPLIN SOUTHWEST - SUB 422 - JOPLIN 24TH & CONNECTICUT 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	6/1/2011	12/1/2010		
	Summit - NE Saline 115 kv	5/1/2009	1/1/2010		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1197077	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	BPU - CITY OF MCPHERSON JOHNS-MANVILLE - EAST MCPHERSON SWITCHING STATION 115KV	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		
	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 #2	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	Multi - Stateline - Joplin - Reinmillier conversion	6/1/2012	6/1/2013		
	SEVENTEENTH () 138/69/11.295KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kv OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2012		
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kv WERE	6/1/2009	1/1/2013		
	SUB 124 - AURORA H.T. - SUB 383 - MONETT 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1197077	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	
	ROSE HILL (ROSEHLX) 345/138/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 3 Displacement	5/1/2009	6/1/2011		

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1197077	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 1	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 2	12/1/2009	12/1/2009		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 WRGS AG1-2007-047D

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
WRGS	1222005	WR	EES	106	10/1/2007	10/1/2010	6/1/2011	6/1/2014	-	\$ 3,625,200	\$ 637,995	\$ 1,248,037
									\$ -	\$ 3,625,200	\$ 637,995	\$ 1,248,037

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
1222005	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 9,401	\$ 50,000	\$ 18,786
	OXFORD 138KV Capacitor Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2011			\$ 9,747	\$ 27,618	\$ 18,402
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes****	\$ 236,202	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 504,055
	TIMBER JCT CAP BANK	6/1/2009	6/1/2011			\$ 392,392	\$ 1,215,000	\$ 725,196
Total						\$ 637,995	\$ 3,465,000	\$ 1,248,037

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222005	EAST MANHATTAN - JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER 230KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013		
	EAST MANHATTAN - NW MANHATTAN 230/115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2012		
	East Manhattan to McDowell 230 kv	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	Grandview East - Sampson - Longview 161kV Ckt 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	Loma Vista - Montrose 161kV Tap into K.C. South	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes****
	SOUTHWEST LAWRENCE - WAKARUSA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	South Harper 161 kV cut-in to Stilwell-Archie JCT 161 kV line	6/1/2009	11/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes****
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	Summit - NE Saline 115 kv	5/1/2009	1/1/2010		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222005	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	CHASE - WHITE JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		
	Sumner County to Timber Junction 138/69 kv	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1222005	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 1	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		
	RENO 345/115KV CKT 2	12/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	12/15/2008	12/15/2008		

****Requested evaluation of the curtailment of existing service is provided in addition to redispatch in report tables. Refer to WRGS Curtailment tab.

Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)	Estimated Engineering & Construction Cost
AEPW	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 8.37 miles of 795 ACSR with 1590 ACSR & reset relays @ BSE	6/1/2009	6/1/2011	\$8,400,000.00
AEPW	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 13.11 miles of 795 ACSR with 1590 ACSR.	6/1/2009	6/1/2011	\$13,100,000.00
KACP	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	Additional 20 MVAR to make a total of 70 MVAR at Craig 542978	6/1/2011	6/1/2011	\$50,000.00
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	Reconductor line with 1192 ACSR and upgrade terminal equipment for 2000 amps	6/1/2009	6/1/2011	\$2,200,000.00
MIDW	Rice County to Ellinwood 34.5KV	Rebuild 14.5 miles of 34.5 kV line between Rice County to Ellinwood	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	\$1,812,500.00
SJLP	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	Conductor, Switch, Relay	6/1/2010	6/1/2011	\$4,400,000.00
SPRM	BROOKLINE - JUNCTION 161KV CKT 1	Brookline: Replace 1,200 amp switches with 2,000 amp units and replace metering CTs. Junction: Replace 1,200 amp switches with 2,000 amp units.	6/1/2013	6/1/2013	\$120,000.00
WERE	ALLEN - LEHIGH TAP 69KV CKT 1	Tear down / Rebuild 5.89-mile line 954-KCM ACSR	6/1/2009	6/1/2012	\$2,580,500.00
WERE	ALLEN 69KV Capacitor	Allen 69 kV 15 MVAR Capacitor Addition	5/1/2009	6/1/2012	\$607,500.00
WERE	ALTOONA EAST 69KV Capacitor	ALTOONA EAST 69KV 6 MVAR Capacitor Addition	6/1/2009	6/1/2014	\$607,500.00
WERE	ARKANSAS CITY - PARIS 69KV CKT 1 #1 Displacement	Replace Disconnect Switches and Bus Jumpers at Paris and Ark City 69 kV substations	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	\$ 3,983
WERE	ATHENS 69KV Capacitor	Athens 69 kV 15 MVAR Capacitor Addition	5/1/2009	6/1/2013	\$607,500.00
WERE	Athens to Owl Creek 69 kV	Rebuild 2.93 miles with 954 kcmil ACSR (138kV/69kV Operation)	5/1/2009	4/1/2011	\$1,418,500.00
WERE	BURLINGTON JUNCTION - COFFEY COUNTY NO. 3 WESTPHALIA 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 7.2 miles with 954 kcmil ACSR (138kV/69kV Operation)	5/1/2009	7/1/2013	\$3,340,000.00
WERE	BURLINGTON JUNCTION - WOLF CREEK 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 4.1 miles with 954 kcmil ACSR (138kV/69kV Operation)	5/1/2009	1/1/2013	\$1,945,000.00
WERE	CHANUTE TAP - TIOGA 69KV CKT 1	Replace Jumpers	6/1/2010	6/1/2010	\$115,000.00
WERE	CITY OF JOLA - UNITED NO. 9 CONGER 69KV CKT 1	Tear down / Rebuild 4-mile 69 kV line; 954 kcmil ACSR.	6/1/2009	6/1/2014	\$ 1,800,000
WERE	CITY OF WINFIELD - RAINBOW 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 3.99-mile Rainbow Winfield 69 kV line; 954 ACSR.	6/1/2009	6/1/2014	\$ 1,645,279
WERE	COFFEY COUNTY NO. 3 WESTPHALIA - GREEN 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 9.22 miles with 954 kcmil ACSR (138kV/69kV Operation)	5/1/2009	4/1/2014	\$4,249,000.00
WERE	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE #2	Replace Disconnect Switches, Wavetrap, Breaker, Jumpers	6/1/2010	6/1/2010	\$600,000.00
WERE	GRESWELL - OAK 69KV CKT 1 #1 Displacement	Replace jumpers and bus, and reset CTe and relaying - Rebuild substations	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	\$ 13,656
WERE	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 Displacement	Replace Disconnect Switches, Wavetrap, Breaker, Jumpers	6/1/2010	6/1/2010	\$201,238.00
WERE	Green to Vernon 69 kV	Rebuild 7.19 miles with 954 kcmil ACSR (138kV/69kV Operation)	5/1/2009	7/1/2010	\$3,335,500.00
WERE	LEHIGH TAP - OWL CREEK 69KV CKT 1	Tear down / Rebuild 8.47-mile 69 kV line with 954-KCM ACSR (138kV/69kV Operation)	5/1/2009	12/1/2011	\$3,811,500.00
WERE	LEHIGH TAP - UNITED NO. 9 CONGER 69KV CKT 1	Tear down / Rebuild 0.91-mile 69 kV line; 954-KCM ACSR (138kV/69kV Operation)	6/1/2009	6/1/2011	\$593,775.00
WERE	LITCHFIELD - AQUARIUS - HUDSON JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1 Displacement	Replace 69 kV disconnect switches at Aquarius.	6/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$2,626.00
WERE	NEOSHO - NORTHEAST PARSONS 138KV CKT 1	Replace bus and Jumpers at NE Parsons 138 kV substation	6/1/2011	6/1/2011	\$250,000.00
WERE	OAK - RAINBOW 69KV CKT 1	Tear down / Rebuild 5.10-mile Oak-Rainbow 69 kV using 954 kcmil ACSR	6/1/2008	6/1/2014	\$ 1,900,000
WERE	OXFORD 138KV Capacitor Displacement	Install 30 MVAR Capacitor Bank at Oxford 138 kV	6/1/2009	6/1/2014	\$ 27,618
WERE	TIMBER JCT 138 kV Capacitor	Install 30 MVAR Cap bank at new Timber Junction 138kV	6/1/2009	6/1/2011	\$1,215,000.00
WERE	TIOGA 69KV Capacitor	Tioga 69 kV 15 MVAR Capacitor Addition	5/1/2009	6/1/2011	\$607,500.00
WERE	Vernon to Athens 69 kV	Rebuild 5.17 miles with 954 KCM-ACSR (138kV/69kV Operation)	5/1/2009	1/1/2011	\$2,426,500.00

Construction Pending Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
AEPW	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	Tie Line, Reconductor 1.09 miles of 795 ACSR with 1590 ACSR.	6/1/2009	6/1/2010
AEPW	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	Rebuild 7.43 miles of 250 CWC with 795 ACSR	6/1/2009	6/1/2009
OKGE	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	Rebuild 7.43 miles of 250 CWC with 795 ACSR	6/1/2009	6/1/2009
SWPA	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1 #2	Reconductor Clarksville-Dardanelle line	6/1/2012	6/1/2012
WERE	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE	Tie Line, Rebuild 3.93 miles of 795 ACSR with 1590 ACSR.	6/1/2009	6/1/2010
WERE	ROSE HILL (ROSEHL1X) 345/138/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 3 Displacement	Add third 345-138 kV transformer at Rose Hill	5/1/2009	6/1/2011

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
SPRM	SOUTHWEST - SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL 161KV CKT 1	SOUTHWEST - SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL 161KV CKT 1: Reconductor 161kV Line 1192 MCM AAC to 954 kcmil ACS/TW 0.67 miles and Upgrade Terminal Equipment	6/1/2013	6/1/2012

Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

Expansion Plan Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.				
Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
AEPW	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	Rebuild 17.96 miles of 250 Coppenweld with 1272 ACSR.	6/1/2009	6/1/2009
AEPW	FLINT CREEK - SHIPE ROAD - EAST ROGERS - OSAGE 345KV	Install new 345kV line from FLINT CREEK - SHIPE ROAD - EAST ROGERS - OSAGE	6/1/2017	6/1/2014
EMDE	SUB 376 - MONETT CITY SOUTH 161/69/12.5KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Install 3-wind transformer from 161 kV new Sub MONETT 5 to Monett city south 69kV	6/1/2015	6/1/2015
EMDE	SUB 383 - MONETT - SUB 376 - MONETT CITY SOUTH 161KV CKT 1	Install new line from Sub #383 to new Sub MONETT 5	6/1/2015	6/1/2015
EMDE	SUB 389 - JOPLIN SOUTHWEST - SUB 422 - JOPLIN 24TH & CONNECTICUT 161KV CKT 1	Change CT Ratio at Sub #389 on Breaker #16170 for 268 MVA Rate B	6/1/2009	6/1/2009
EMDE	SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	Install 3 - stages of 22 MVAR each for a total of 66 MVAR capacitor bank at Riverside Sub #438 547497	6/1/2011	12/1/2010
INDN	SUBSTATION M 161/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Add second 100 MVA xfr at Substation M	6/1/2010	6/1/2011
MIPU	ALABAMA - LAKE ROAD 161KV CKT 1	re-set the over current relay to trip the Lake Road-Alabama section when flow goes above 161 MVA	6/1/2010	6/1/2010
MIPU	EDMOND SUB	Add a new 161/34.5 kV Sub at Edmond tapping the Cook to Lake Road 161 kV line.	6/1/2009	6/1/2011
MIPU	Grandview East - Sampson - Longview 161KV Ckt 1	Replace wavetraps at Grandview East and Longview.	6/1/2009	6/1/2009
MIPU	Loma Vista - Montrose 161kV Tap into K.C. South	To tap the Montrose-LomaVista 161 kV Line into KC South 161 kV sub.	6/1/2009	6/1/2011
MIPU	South Harper 161 kV cut-in to Stilwell-Archie JCT 161 kV line	To tap Stilwell-Archie JCT 161 kV line into South Harper 161 kV sub and make it two new 161 kV sections: Stilwell-South Harper and Archie JCT- South Harper .	6/1/2009	11/1/2010
MKEC	Cimarron Plant Substation Expansion	Integrate SUNC North Cimarron Top into reconfigured WEPL Cimarron Plant Sub	6/1/2012	1/1/2010
MKEC	HARPER 138KV Capacitor	Install 1 - 20 MVA capacitor bank	6/1/2009	10/1/2009
OKGE	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	Rebuild 17.96 miles of 250 Coppenweld with 1272 ACSR.	6/1/2009	6/1/2009
SPRM	KICKAPOO - SUNSET 69KV CKT 1	Reconductor 69kV Line 636 MCM ACSR to 762.8 kcmil ACSR/TW 1.35 miles.	6/1/2014	6/1/2012
SPRM	NEERGARD - NORTON 69KV CKT 1	Transfer load & Reconductor 336.4 kcmil ACSR with 477 ACSR/TW	10/1/2010	6/1/2010
SUNC	HOLCOMB - PLYMELL 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild Holcomb to Plymell	12/1/2009	12/1/2009
SUNC	PIONEER TAP - PLYMELL 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild Plymell to Pioneer Tap	12/1/2009	12/1/2009
SWPA	BULL SHOALS - BULL SHOALS 161KV CKT 1	Replace buswork in Bull Shoals switchyard.	6/1/2009	6/1/2011
SWPA	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA #1	Replace wave trap, disconnect switches, current transformers, and breaker. Bus will limit rating to 1340 amps.	6/1/2009	6/1/2010
SWPA	SPRINGFIELD (SPF X1) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Replace Springfield xfmr #1 three winding transformer with 70 MVA auto transformer.	6/1/2016	6/1/2016
WERE	AUBURN ROAD (AUBRN7X) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Add second Auburn 230-115 kV transformer.	6/1/2016	6/1/2016
WERE	BISMARK JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - FARMERS CONSUMER CO-OP 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 2.9 mi 115 kV line Bismark to COOP	6/1/2015	6/1/2015
WERE	BISMARK JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - MIDLAND JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 5.2 miles Bismark to Midland 115 kV line	6/1/2015	6/1/2015
WERE	EAST MANHATTAN - JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER 230KV CKT 1	Uprate JEC- E.Manhattan 230 kV line to 100 deg C operation by raising structures	6/1/2013	6/1/2013
WERE	EAST MANHATTAN - NW MANHATTAN 230/115KV	Tap the Concordia - East Manhattan 230kV line and add a new substation "NW Manhattan"; Add a 230kV/115kV transformer and tap the KSU - Wildcat 115kV line into NW Manhattan	6/1/2011	6/1/2012
WERE	East Manhattan to McDowell 230 kV	The East Manhattan-McDowell 115 kV is built as a 230 kV line, but is operated at 115 kV. Substation work will have to be performed in order to convert this line.	6/1/2011	6/1/2011
WERE	FARMERS CONSUMER CO-OP - WAKARUSA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 1.53-mile Co-op-Wakarusa 115 kV line.	6/1/2017	6/1/2017
WERE	Fort Scott - SW Bourbon 161 kV	Tap Litchfield-Marmaton 161 kV with new SW Bourbon Sub to Ft Scott.	6/1/2010	6/1/2010
WERE	Fort Scott 161/69kV Transformer CKT 1	New 161/69 kV transformer at Ft Scott.	6/1/2010	6/1/2010
WERE	KELLY - SOUTH SENECA 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 10.28 mile line with 1192.5 kcmil ACSR and replace CTs.	5/1/2009	1/1/2011
WERE	Knob Hill - Steele City 115 kV	New 115 kV Line from Knob Hill to Kansas/Nebraska state line.	6/1/2010	6/1/2010
WERE	LAWRENCE HILL - MOCKINGBIRD HILL SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 5.49 mile line	6/1/2017	6/1/2017
WERE	ROSE HILL JUNCTION - WEAVER 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 5.73 mile Weaver-Rose Hill Junction as a 138 kV line but operate at 69 kV.	6/1/2009	12/1/2010
WERE	SOUTHWEST LAWRENCE - WAKARUSA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 4.09 mile SW Lawrence-Wakarusa 115 kV line	6/1/2016	6/1/2016
WERE	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	Rebuild 11.62-mile Jarbalo-NW Leavenworth 115 kV line and tap in & out of Stranger 115 kV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011
WERE	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Install second Stranger Creek 345-115 transformer	6/1/2009	6/1/2009
WERE	Summit - NE Saline 115 kV	Build 6.5-mile Summit-Southgate 115 kV, 1192.5 kcmil ACSR Tear down Northview-South Gate 115 kV	5/1/2009	12/1/2010

Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
AEPW	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	Convert from 69KV to 161KV	6/1/2014	6/1/2014
EMDE	JAMESVILLE - SUB 415 - BLACKHAWK JCT. 69KV CKT 1 EMDE	Replace Jumpers to breaker #6950 at Blackhawk Jct.	6/1/2014	6/1/2012
EMDE	Multi - Stateline - Joplin - Reinmiller conversion	Tear down the Riverton to Joplin 59 69 kv line, rebuilding the line to 161 kv from Stateline to outside Joplin 59 sub. Tear down and rebuild Joplin 59 to Gateway to Pillsbury to Reinmiller, converting those 69 kv lines to 161 kv. Tap the 161 kv line betwe	6/1/2012	6/1/2013
EMDE	SUB 124 - AURORA H.T. - SUB 152 - MONETT H.T. 69KV CKT 1	Change CT Ratio on breaker #6936 at Aurora #124	6/1/2009	6/1/2010
EMDE	SUB 124 - AURORA H.T. - SUB 383 - MONETT 161KV CKT 1	Change CT Ratio at Sub #383 on Breaker #16186 for 268 MVA Rate B	6/1/2017	6/1/2017
EMDE	SUB 145 - JOPLIN WEST 7TH - SUB 64 - JOPLIN 10TH ST. 69KV CKT 1	Replace Disconnect Switches and Leads on Breaker #6965 at Sub #64 and #6932 at Sub #145	6/1/2010	6/1/2010
EMDE	SUB 170 - NICHOLS ST. - SUB 80 - SEDALIA 69KV CKT 1	Reconductor 8.92 mile line from 1/0 CU to 556 ACSR and replace Jumpers in Sub #80 and Upgrade CT's	6/1/2012	6/1/2012
EMDE	SUB 271 - BAXTER SPRINGS WEST - SUB 404 - HOCKERVILLE 69KV CKT 1	Change CT setting on Breaker #6973 at Baxter #271	12/1/2010	6/1/2010
GRDA	KERR - PENSACOLA 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 22 miles of line from 4/0 Cu to 795 ACSR for 161KV	12/1/2012	6/1/2011
KACP	MERRIAM - ROELAND PARK 161KV CKT 1	reconductor with 1192 acsr; upgrade term equip 1200 A	6/1/2017	6/1/2017
MIDW	HUNTSVILLE - HUTCHINSON ENERGY CENTER 115KV CKT 1 MIDW	Tear down and rebuild 73.4% Ownership 28.79 mile HEC-Huntsville 115 kv line and replace CT, wavetrap and relays.	6/1/2016	6/1/2016
MIDW	HUNTSVILLE - ST JOHN 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 26.5 miles Huntsville - St. John 115 kv line and replace CT, wavetrap, breakers, and relays.	6/1/2016	6/1/2016
MIPU	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	Add 50 MVAR cap bank at Blue Springs East	6/1/2011	6/1/2011
MIPU	RALPH GREEN 12MVAR CAPACITOR	12MVAR at Ralph Green	6/1/2010	6/1/2010
MIPU	South Harper - Freeman 69 kv	re-set the overcurrent relay at South Harper 69 kv Bus to open SouthHarper-Freeman 69 kv line	6/1/2009	6/1/2010
MKEC	PRATT - ST JOHN 115KV CKT 1	Replace terminal equipment	6/1/2017	6/1/2017
OKGE	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kv OKGE	New 345 kv line from Sooner to Oklahoma/Kansas	6/1/2009	1/1/2013
SPRM	JAMES RIVER - TWIN OAKS 69KV CKT 1	Reconductor 69KV Line 636 MCM ACSR to 762.8 kcmil ACSR/TW 3.103 miles.	6/1/2015	6/1/2014
SUNC	NORTH CIMARRON CAPACITOR	Install 24 MVAR Capacitor bank at North Cimarron	6/1/2012	12/1/2008
SWPA	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1 #1	Remove wavetrap, install fiber	6/1/2012	6/1/2012
WERE	95TH & WAVERLY - CAPTAIN JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 7.61 miles from 95th & Waverly-Captain Junction 115 kv line.	6/1/2017	6/1/2017
WERE	BPU - CITY OF MCPHERSON JOHNS-MANVILLE - EAST MCPHERSON SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild Line	6/1/2009	6/1/2011
WERE	CHASE - WHITE JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1	Tear down / Rebuild 7.3-mile Chase - White Junction 69 kv line. Replace existing 2/0 copper conductor with 795 kcmil ACSR conductor.	6/1/2009	6/1/2010
WERE	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 #2	Reconductor 8.02 miles with Bundled 1192.5 ACSR	6/1/2016	6/1/2016
WERE	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 1	Replace wave trap	6/1/2016	6/1/2016
WERE	HUNTSVILLE - HUTCHINSON ENERGY CENTER 115KV CKT 1 WERE	Tear down and rebuild 26.6% Ownership 28.79 mile HEC-Huntsville 115 kv line and replace CT, wavetrap and relays.	6/1/2016	6/1/2016
WERE	RICHLAND - ROSE HILL JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 5.43 mile Rose Hill Junction-Richland as a 138 kv line but operate at 69 kv.	6/1/2009	6/1/2011
WERE	SEVENTEENTH (I) 138/69/11.295KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Install second 17th St. 138-69 kv transformer	6/1/2015	6/1/2015
WERE	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kv WERE	New 345 kv line from Oklahoma/Kansas Stateline to Rose Hill	6/1/2009	1/1/2013
WERE	Sumner County to Timber Junction 138/69 kv	Tap Belle Plaine-Oxford 138 kv line, build a 3-breaker ring bus switching station, build 12-mile 138 kv line from Sumner County 138 kv to Timber Junction 138 kv, and Install Timber Junction, 138-69 kv 100 MVA transformer with LTC.	6/1/2009	6/1/2011

Previously Assigned Aggregate Study Upgrades requiring credits to Previous Aggregate Study Customers.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
AEPW	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	Valliant 345 kv line terminal	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
KACP	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	KCPPL Sponsored Project to Reconductor Line to be In-Service by 6/1/2006	6/1/2006	6/1/2006
WERE	RENO 345/115KV CKT 1	New stepdown transformer at a new substation in Reno County east northeast of Hutchinson	12/15/2008	12/15/2008
WERE	RENO 345/115KV CKT 2	Install 2nd stepdown transformer at Reno County substation east northeast of Hutchinson	12/1/2009	8/1/2009
WERE	SUMMIT - RENO 345KV	Install new 50.55-mile 345 kv line from Reno county to Summit; 31 miles of 115 kv line between Circle and S Philips would be rebuilt as double circuit with the 345 kv line to minimize ROW impacts; Substation work required at Summit for new 345 kv terminal	6/1/2010	6/1/2010
WERE	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	40 mile 345 kv transmission line from existing Wichita 345 kv substation to a new 345-115 kv substation in Reno County east northeast of Hutchinson (Wichita to Reno)	12/15/2008	12/15/2008
WFEC	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV WFEC	New 19 miles 345 KV	7/1/2012	7/1/2012

Table 5 - Third Party Facility Constraints

Transmission Owner	UpgradeName	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)	Estimated Engineering & Construction Cost
SWPA	5CALCR - NORFORK 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	At Norfolk Sub, Replace bus between bay MOD switch 67 and disconnect switch 63, reset metering CT ratio and replace wavetrap	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	\$ 100,000
SWPA	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA #2	Replace the bus between auxilliary bus and MOD switch 57, between disconnect switch 57 and disconnect switch 53, and between disconnect switch 51 and the main bus.	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	\$ 45,000